

(Sri K. PRABHAKAR)

of the fact that survey has been ordered during 1950 and still they are reporting that they have seen? Preliminary survey has been done in 1950, and Rs. 10,000 has been spent by the Government and now no examination has ever been made by anybody in spite of your instruction. Will the Government be pleased to see that the whole thing is examined and a proper report is sent to Government?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I have discussed the matter with the concerned engineers at least half-a-dozen times. They are of the view that if a reservoir is constructed there, it will affect the reservoir at Thippagondanahalli.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—May I know the grounds on which they refuse to take up the work?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That is the ground. They are of the opinion that the Thippagondanahalli reservoir will be affected.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—An alternate proposal to reduce the capacity of the project was being examined; is it completed?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I want notice for it.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—Will the Government be pleased to see that the question is re-examined and a report is received early?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I can only say that we must be bound by the decision of the technical men.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—Shall I bring it to the notice of the Government that without examining, without even taking the trouble to go to the spot, they are simply sending reports?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—We cannot presume so.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—May I ask the Government to tell me when and who examined this spot recently?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The spot has been examined by a number of persons; recently I suppose nobody has gone there to examine. I want notice for that.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Question-hour is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(But not taken up)

Power Production at Shimsha (Engineering Survey).

Q.—6. Sri S. KARIYAPPA (Virupakshapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that an Engineering Survey has been conducted at (i) Iggalur, (ii) Ethambodi, and (iii) Dadamalli with a view to step up power production at Shimsha;

(b) the cost of that may be involved in respect of each of these schemes;

(c) the decision of the Government in the implementation of these schemes;

(d) the land that may come under submersion at each of the above three places;

(e) the number of villages that are likely to be affected?

A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Cost for each site has not been worked out. However, please see reply to Question No. 16 (b) answered on 21st January 1954 (January Session, 1954).

(c) It is not proposed to take up the scheme.

(d) and (e) Kindly see replies to sub-questions (d) and (e) of Question No. 16 answered on 21st January 1954 (January Session, 1954).

Honnemaradu Project (Steps to include in Five-Year Plan.)

Q.—16. Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Chennarayapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is true that the Central Government have deputed